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## KENT POSTAL HISTORY GROUP : AUCTION

The group are holding an auction, on 9th. November, with a good range of London Postal History and
reference books.
LPHG members who would like a copy of the catalogue should send
a large prepaid at 31 pence envelope to
Mr G. A. Kemp,
16 Coppergate,
Hempstead,
GILLINGHAM
Kent
ME7 3QN
Your Editor has marked his copy heavily and will be delighted if you fail to get a copy, put in bids and push up the realisations.

> Please note the date of the L.P.H.G. November meeting is the 16 th. and not the 6th.
> "Parliamentary and Government Mail"

The Kingston double arc date stamp was applied to the Down Mail and this example, being in the Uniform Penny Post period, carries the Twickenham 1 -PAID receiving stamp from whence it started en route to Bagshot in Surrey.


The letter is of interest on two counts, the attempt to become a Post office employee and the sound advice on the making of a will.

Febr $16^{\text {th }} 1840$
Sir,
Not having received any letter from the Post Office I despair of ever getting a situation in it, I do not know of any way of knowing whether my name is Inserted in their list or not, perhaps you would be kind enough to Inquire for me, or some friends of yours might let you know, \& then perhaps you could let me know where 1 might apply to gain that Intelligence, I would not mind going to London to know.

As you think it best for father to make a will, I think as you say there may be a dispute between Turner \& Meat some future time l have sent a list of all father's Effects although he has given them to me Twelvemonths ago there is all his

Coopering tools
Small bed
3 Chairs
Bureau
Cut down Tent Bedstead
Bedding
Tea Kettle
Fryan pan
Bellows \& Sundries
and if Turner cannot be found at the Time appoint me Executor to see every thing properly settled any thing else that you wish to be Inform' ed off 1 will send you word with pleasure, Work is very scarce with us $i$ should be happy \& comfortable if I could get into any
bustling sort of businefs in any way to make myself useful and get a Comfortable living, Father agrees with me with all 1 have wrote $\&$ will do any thing you think necefsary In regard of burying Expenses let them be Equally paid between us or the bill settled by you as you when sent from me -

Your Humble Sert<br>F Draywater

## A Report and Survey Arising from the July Meeting

Despite the Handbook showing the continued use of the London District Post series after the establishment of the London Districts (a slightly confusing use of the same word for quite different purposes), the members at the meeting were firmly of the opinion it was the upright single killers and later horizontal ovals only which were to be shown.

Despite the scarcity of this material, several displays were offered and much discussion generated as to the use of the various stamps. It was quite clear the Suburban Offices were apparently indiscriminate in their use of their Suburban Office cancellations, appearing for both local London mail and throughout the British Istes.

Of particular interest was an analysis made of the material on show, the following listing being confined to the single upright oval stamp. There is a certain degree of double counting in that the stamps appeared to have be used in more than one category.

| Registered | 17 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Adhesive value greater than 2d | 2 | (a) |
| Overseas destinations | 9 | (b) |
| Local London Mait | 17 | (c) |
| Wrappers/Bulk Postings | 16 |  |
| Cross Posts | 3 | (d) |

(a) also in overseas destinations
(b) 4 of these also in wrappers
(c) 5 of these also in wrappers
(d) stamps were 32B; 41; 42B

Having decided there was, apparently, no restriction on which mail was processed by the Suburban Offices (perhaps a reader researching in the area can turn up some official Post Office instructions), it would be of value to examine all the material throughout members' collections with a record made of the type of transaction, using the categories shown above.

By way of refreshing the reader's memory an updated abstract from Section $K$ of single stamps issued after 1856 is provided. We ask for both the transaction detail and any date extensions to be advised. The sequence used in the Handbook is retained. The list starts with the Central London stamps, then Northern, followed by the rest of the districts in clockwise compass order.

The first date shown is the date of entry in the Proof Impression Books. Dates in brackets are the recorded use. Rarity is shown as :
G. P. O. = Never Seen
$H * \quad=$ Seen on loose adhesive only
H $\quad=$ one or two dated covers known
The illustrations appear on the facing page to the listing.
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## CENTRAL LONDON

14 ST MARTIN'S PLACE
16 QUEEN VICTORIA STREET
NORTHERN DISTRICT



## EASTERN DISTRICT



SOUTH EASTERN DISTRICT


NORTH EASTERN DISTRICT

7B LOUGHTON
17B CHIGWELL
53 WOODFORD GREEN
EASTERN DISTRICT
$6 B$ CLAPTON

8B HACKNEY
$9 B$ CANNING TOWN
$10 B$ CHADWELL
11 B POPLAR

13 STRATFORD

14 LEYTON
17 LEYTONSTONE
21 WANSTEAD
22 PLAISTOW
29 FOREST GATE
43 ALDGATE

44 MANOR PARK
45B BARKING
50 VICTORIA DOCKS
53 HOMERTON
55 LEYTON STREET
SOUTH EASTERN DISTRICT
12B CHARLTON
138 CHISLEHURST
14 B ERITH

| 7B. A | 2.7.7.2. May 61 | $H$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17B | 2.7.7.2. Jun 61 (Oct. 1868) | $H$ |
| 53A | 3.14.15.3 Jun 58 | $H *$ |

6B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 (14 Jun 62 : Lower Claption back stamp) H 6B. C 2. 4.4.2. Apr. 80 GPO

8B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61
(Sep. 69 to Dec. 71)
G
8B. B 2.4.4.2. May 76 GPO
9B. A 1.8.8.2. May $61 \quad H=$
10B. A 1.8.8. 2 May 61 GPO
11B. A 2.7.7.2. May $61 \quad \mathrm{H}$ *
11B.C 2.5.5.2. Feb 71 (20 Jan 73) $H$
13B 1.9.9.1 Nov. 59 H
13C 2.6.6.2. undated $H$ *
13 D 3.2.2.3. Mar. 72 GPO
13E 2.4.4.2 Mar. 76 H *
14B 2.7.7.2. Dec. $59 \quad H=$
17E 2.4.4.2. Dec. 76 (2 sizes) $H=$
21C 2.4.4.2. Dec. 76 GPO
22D 2.4.4.2. May 60 GPO
29C 2.4.4.2 June 60 GPO
43D 2.4.4.2 July 83 (25 FEB. 84) H
44D 2.4.4.2 Sep 83 GPO
45B. A 1.9.9.1. May 61 (24 AUG. 65) H
50D 2.4.4.2. Apr. $83 \quad H=$
53 D 3.4.4.3. Sep. $70 \quad \mathrm{H}=$
55B 2.10.9.2 June $58 \quad H=$

12B. A 2.7.7.2. May $61 \quad \mathrm{H}$ *
13B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 GPO
14B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 GPO

SOUTH EASTERN DISTRICT
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## SOUTHERN DISTRICT



SOUTH WESTERN DISTRICT
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## WESTERN DISTRICT



| 27 CLAPHAM | 278 | 3.13.13.3 | May 58 |  | GPO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 27C | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ |
| 27 B STOCKWELL | 278. A | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
|  | 278. B | 2.4.4.2. | Dec. 71 | (Mar. 82) | G |
| 28 TOOTING | 28 B | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | H* |
| 28 B STREATHAM | 28B. A | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 | (Jan. 80) | H |
| 31 WANDSWORTH | 31B | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2.8.8.2. } \\ (15 \mathrm{NO} \end{gathered}$ | May 61 $\text { V. } 80-16$ | AUG. 82) | H |
| 31B BATTERSEA | 31B. A | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
| 32 PUTNEY | 32D | 2.4.4.2. | Jun. 79 | (09 APR. 83) | H |
| 32B PETERSHAM | 32B. A | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 | (Jun-Nov. 77) | H |
| 33B TEDDINGTON | 33B. A | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
| 34 RICHMOND | 34B | 3.9.9.3. | 61 (Aug | -Oct. 66) | H |
| 36 HAMPTON | 36B | 2.9.9.2. | Nov. 58 |  | H* |
| 44 and 58 WIMBLEDON | 44B | 3.9.9.3. | Apr. 61 |  | $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ |
|  | 58A | 3.3.3.3. | Jun. 70 |  | GPO |
| 46 B WALHAM GREEN AND FULHAM | 46B. A | 1.9.9.1. | May 61 | (May 80) | H |
| 47B SUNBURY | 47B. A | 2.7.7.2. | Jut. 61 |  | GPO |
| WESTERN DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 KENSAL TOWN | 268 | 2. 4. 4. 2 . | Jun. 61 |  | GPO |
| 26 NORTH KENSINGTON | 260 | 4.6.6.4. | Jan. 93 |  | GPO |
| 34 B ACTON | 34B. | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
|  | 34B. C | 2.4.4.2. | Jun 76 |  | GPO |
| 35B EALING | 35B. | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | H* |
|  | 35B. | 2.4.4.2. | Apr. 73 | (11 Nov. 89 | 90) H |
|  | 35B. C | 2. 4. 4. 2 . | Mar. 76 |  | $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ |
|  | 35B. | 2. 4. 4.2. | Dec. 90 |  | GPO |
| 36 B HANWELL | 36B. | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
|  | 36B. | 2.4.4.2. | Jul. 76 |  | GPO |
| 37 B NOTTING HILL | 37B. | 2.7.7.2. | May 61 |  | GPO |
|  | 37B. | 2.4.4.2. | Apr. 76 | (Sep. 79) | H |
|  |  |  | Jul. 79 |  | GPO |
|  |  |  | Feb. 84 |  | $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ |
| 37C NOTTING HILL | 37C. | 2.7.7.2. | Jul. 61 |  | $\mathrm{H}^{*}$ |
| 38 KENSINGTON | 38 C | 2.4.4.2. | Apr. 76 | (Jan. 86) | H |
|  |  |  | Jul. 79 | (13 Dec. 82) | H |

## WESTERN DISTRICT



## NORTH WESTERN DISTRICT



4B


5D


42B. A

38B PADDINGTON

38C PADDINGTON

39 HAMMERSMI TH

39B SHEPHERDS BUSH
$40 B$ SOUTHALL

55 WEST KENSINGTON

56 SMALLBURY GREEN I SLEWORTH

57 CHISWICK

64 EALING DEAN
NORTH WESTERN DISTRICT

5 HENDON

6 EDGWARE

9 KENTISH TOWN

41B ST. JOHNS WOOD

42B STANMORE

43B SUDBURY

44B THE HYDE

60 KILBURN

63 ELSTREE

38B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61
GPO

38C. A 2.7.7.2. Jul. 61
H *

39B 2.9.9.2. not in PIB $H *$
39 D 2.4.4.2. Apr. 76 (18 Jun. 79 - 2 Nov. 85)
39 F 2.4.4.2. Jun. 91

39B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61
39B. D 2.4.4.2. May 76
39B. E 2.5.5.2. Jun. 77 (19 Jul. 87)

40B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 (NR)

55B 2.4.4.2. Mar. 89 (Oct. 89-Nov. 90)

56B 2.9.9.2. Oct. 58 (Apr.69-Jun. 70)
57 B 2.4.4.2. Nov. 89 (29 Jul. 92)
5.9.10.5 Sep. 92

GPO

4B 2.7.7.2. Jun 61
(22 Jan. 72 - Apr. 81)
2.4.4.2. Nov. 77

Mar. 79
Apr. 84
Apr. 84
$5 B \quad$ 1.6.6.1. Jan.62 GPO
$5 D$ 2.4.4.2. Mar. 79 GPO

6 B 3.9.9.3. Jan. 61 (Jun. 73-11 Jul. 75) E

9D 2.4.4.2. May $1884 \quad \mathrm{H}$ *

41B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 (13 May. 65) H
41B. B 2.4.4.2. Jun. 72
$H^{*}$

42B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 (15 Jan. 60 - Aug. 73) G
42B. B 2.4.4.2 not in PIB $H$.

43B. A 2.7.7.2. May 61 GPO

44B. A 2.7.7.2. May $61 \quad H *$

600 2.4.4.2. no dot: Nov. $78 \quad H$ *
$60 E$ 2.4.4.2. dot Dec. 78 (Feb. 82) H

63A 2.6.6.2. Sep. $63 \quad H=$

## LONDON DATE STAMP CODES

It must be admitted, the pursuit of a range of General Post date stamps verifying the date code letters has not featured greatly in the pages of "Notebook" over the years. However, a letter to your Editor from the Railway Philatelic Group concerning an article, or rather short note, which appeared in the June edition of their journal "Railway Philately", caused him to refer to the book by Stitt Dibden "London Date Stamp Codes" , repubiished by LPHG.
To quote from page 9 of Stitt's book:
"On 29th. April, 1844, two letters were introduced into the morning duty stamps (fig. 21) the first letter distinguishing the particular handstamp and the second the date code. They continued in use until 1858."

fig. 21

fig. A

fig. $B$

To quote from the R.P.G. letter:
"The apparently reduced "Y" (fig A above) suggested these index letters might have been an abbreviation for 'Railway' but with only a single copy this might have been wishful thinking on the owner's part as it could have been the product of a poor strike and not a really reduced size ' $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ at all................."'
"The second response was more intriguing as it produced another example of the same postmark with exactly the same small ' $Y$ ' and amazingly used on the same date. This second example was formerly part of the late Norman Hill's collection who had written it up as a railway item. This cover was addressed to Liverpool so would have travelled by a different railway and would have left from a different London station than the first example which was destined for Wellington via Paddington terminus."

The problem comes when examining the listing given by Stitt, his code B2 which ran from 29.4. 44 to 10.4.46. He starts with a " $Z$ ". the $29 t h$ April. Frankly, this does not make sense. Given the introduction of a new stamp on the Monday, "A" would make a logical opening date code. This is confirmed by the "EB" in fig B above, struck in red. As further evidence of this apparent error, the illustration given by Stitt, fig. 21, has "FR" for the $16 t h$. December, 1845. The "R" fits IF ONE STARTS WITH "A" on the 29th. April, 1844.

Given an "A" start, then the $26 t h$. August would have been " $Y$ ", which makes fig $A$ no more than a truncated " $Y$ " caused by a missing stem.

It is not intended to fill a couple of pages with a code check listing but if readers would look through their collections for these single ring, double coded, date stamps and drop a note to the Editor giving both codes, the date and the colour it should be possible to answer the secondary question of the accuracy of the " $A$ " start for the B2 codes.

Needless to say, if you can demonstrate an "Rr" code and a railway connection. then this will be most interesting, if confusing.

A SIZE VARIATION
David Shaw

Although one must be careful over the single mm size difference, the example of the "4" shown here varies in both vertical and horizontal from any given in the Jay listing.


The closest size is L624, quoted at $20 \times 28$ against $19 \times 27$ for this example. Whether one should concern oneself over such small differences is a matter for the individual collector but, for some, enjoy.
"IN FOR A POUND....."
Donald Farmborough
The item shown was purchased for the princely sum of $£ 1$ at a local fair earlier this year. It is not the period l collect so 1 am curious to

hear readers' reactions as much as anything. It is, of course, far from clear and. at first glance appears to have a capital "L" in the centre, the base of which is towards a corner, not a line. The reverse carries part of the letter, which the address "Darlington 29 July, 1752".

The prosaic explanation is a poorly struck stamp from some unidentified office but please note "PAID" seems to sit on the outer line, not face in as is the case with all the examples illustrated in Jay for the 1750 s. The Dockwra-Murray-Chamberlain stamps DO show "PAID" on the outer line. . . . . . . . ???

## NEWSPAPER BRANCH CHARGE STAMP

## Bill Hardy

Last year I was given a set of plans for the reredos in our church (Thorley, Bishop's Stortford) by a churchwarden who " found " them in an old document chest. Imagine my delight when 1 opened up the plans and found the postal treatment still in place.


The date is 7th. November 1883 and was prepaid by just one halfpenny adhesive. Endorsed " Drawing only " it was cancelled by the Book Post obliterator for the South Western District office and. despite not being a newspaper, was examined by that office.

The NPB, code $D$, date stamp for the same day was struck poorly, then repeated, the weight checked and the inverted step type " More to pay / above oz / N.P.B. " with a "2" inserted applied. The charge mark for id placed close by and the inspector's initials entered to confirm the charge.

In 1883 the Printed Paper Rate was $1 / 2 d$ per $20 z$ and then an additional $\nless d$ for each $20 z$. This item was rated at between 2 and 4 ounces and, with double the deficiency, the appropriate $1 d$ shown. It is worth noting the Printed Paper Rate facility was introduced in 1848 as "Book Post".

## CROYDON 'S FIRST TYPE COUNTRY SORTING OFFICE DATESTAMP

## Arthur Moyles

It is now well established many offices had both replacement and recut stamps. Some are detectable at a glance, others go unremarked. For the Country Sorting Office datestamps, especially those from the outer parts of the London area, there is the problem of the scarcity of surviving examples, whatever the Post Office returns suggest may have been the potential numbers actually struck.

The present major research project is Croydon and although seriously collected for many years the number of C.S.O. stamps are altogether too few. There are two sub - types recorded thus far; both are illustrated with the dates seen.

| Type | Illustration | Size mm | Date known |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 34 dia. | 9.4.1822 |
| 2 |  | 31 dia. | 28.11.1832 |

Willcocks and Jay list Croydon first type used from 1816 to 1837 and I would very much appreciate a note of examples in readers' collections which may give a date span for these two sub types. It may be noted the second shows no collection time: is this an error on the day or was it a change in practice at the Croydon office ? Only other examples reported will help to resolve this.

Much to the Hon. Secretary's chagrin, the selected dates for 1997 were not all available, despite booking at about the ususal time of the year. As a result, the dates for 1997 tend to wander around rather a lot. To make sure for 1998, the Burns room at the Union Jack Club, Waterloo, has already been reserved.

Perhaps members would like to enter the dates at the end of their 1996 diaries to be carried forward for the following two years.

1997 :

| January | 18th. | Silver Jubilee Meeting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March | 1 st. | Machinery and Cancellations |
| May | 17th. | Annual Auction |
| July | 19th. | Cancellation of Newspapers, Circulars and other Printed Matter. |
| September | 13th. | Too Late, Late fee, Delayed, Undelivered and Damaged Mails |
| November | 15th. | London Cross and Bye Posts |

1998
January - 17th.
March 21st.
May 16th. Annual Auction
September 19th.
November 21 st .

There is plenty of scope to fit in what you would like to see as the subject for the afternoon. It is not really practical to have something absolutely new but there must be many areas of London for which you have a particular liking. Why not a specialised study for your own local study ? Do send the Editor your suggestions as soon as possible.

JUST TO MAKE THE DAY CLEAR......
the Jubilee day is saturday 18TH. January 1997

## 86 HACKNEY ROAD DATE STAMP

We have featured the double arc date stamp used at a very few London offices and can offer an example of 86 Hackney Road to add to the list so far. Several are recorded in the London proof Impression Books which will be included in Notebook next year.


AND FINALLY. $\qquad$ Your views on the present location for STAMPEX are sought. The organisers are reported to be very content with the location and facilities, though comments overheard by your Editor suggest they are perhaps lacking in "visitor input". Do write with your comments, favourable or otherwise.

